International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science and Technology (IJIRCST)
ISSN(Online): 2347-5552, Volume-13, Issue-2, March 2025
https:/doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2025.13.2.1
Article ID IJIRD-1379, Pages 1-5
www.ijircst.org/

Practical and Theoretical Ethics in the Age of IoT and Big Data: Navigating the Digital Landscape with Implications for Educational Performance

Dr Vishal Kishorchandra Pandya[1], Joshi Keyur Ramesh[2], and Modha Vivek Pankajbhai[3]

[1, 2]Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Shri V J Modha College of IT, Porbandar, Gujarat, India
[3] MSc. Scholar, Department of Computer Science, Shri V J Modha College of IT, Porbandar, Gujarat, India

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr Vishal Kishorchandra Pandya; vkpandya26@gmail.com

Received: 23 January 2025 Revised: 10 February 2025 Accepted: 24 February 2025

ABSTRACT- The rise of IoT (Internet of Things) and Big Data has brought unprecedented changes to the way industries operate, from healthcare to education, offering improvements in efficiency, accessibility, and decision-making. However, the deployment of these technologies presents serious ethical concerns, especially regarding privacy, data ownership, fairness, and transparency in algorithmic decisions. This paper explores the theoretical foundations of ethics, focusing on deontology, utilitarianism, and virtue ethics, and examines how these frameworks can be applied to IoT and Big Data environments. It further considers how these technologies impact educational performance evaluations, highlighting the ethical issues arising from the collection and use of student data. By analyzing more than 20 empirical studies, this research identifies ongoing ethical debates and practical solutions, proposing that a hybrid ethical governance model is necessary. The study concludes with recommendations for stricter regulations, the responsible deployment of AI, and the importance of transparent, participatory policies to safeguard privacy and fairness in the digital era.

KEYWORDS- IoT Ethics, Big Data Ethics, Digital Privacy, Ethical Frameworks, Algorithmic Bias, Data Governance, AI Regulation, Educational Performance, Data Ownership, Privacy Preservation, Surveillance.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rise of IoT and Big Data has brought transformative changes to a variety of industries, offering enhanced efficiency, new opportunities, and innovative solutions. The education sector, in particular, has benefitted from these technologies, leveraging them to collect vast amounts of data about students' behavior, learning preferences, and academic performance. This data allows educators to create personalized learning experiences, track student progress, and improve decision-making for educational policies. However, the widespread collection of student data, particularly through IoT devices like smart classrooms, wearables, and educational apps, raises a host of ethical challenges.

Ethics plays a crucial role in understanding the moral implications of these technologies. Theoretical ethics provides the foundational principles of what is right and wrong, helping to shape decisions that govern data collection, storage, and usage. In contrast, practical ethics applies these principles to real-world contexts. In the case of IoT and Big Data, practical ethics is essential to navigate issues like surveillance, algorithmic fairness, privacy, and informed consent, particularly in the educational realm. These concerns also extend to the governance of AI systems used to analyze student performance data, where biased algorithms may perpetuate inequalities or make unfair judgments about students' abilities.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Smith et al. [1] emphasize the ethical concerns surrounding IoT data collection, focusing on informed consent and transparency. They argue that IoT devices, while offering convenience, often gather data in environments where users are unaware of what is being collected. This raises critical questions about user autonomy and the ethical responsibility of companies to ensure that consumers are well-informed about data collection practices. The study also highlights the implications of continuous data tracking on personal privacy, advocating for stronger regulatory frameworks and more control mechanisms for users.

K. Michael and M. G. Michael [2] explore the ethical implications of surveillance in smart cities. They note that while smart cities aim to improve urban efficiency and safety, they often infringe upon individual privacy. Their study examines the misuse of surveillance data by authorities and corporations, proposing that ethical governance structures—such as transparency in data usage and citizen participation in decision-making—are vital for mitigating privacy violations while preserving the advantages of smart technology.

L. Floridi and M. Taddeo [3] Data ethics focuses on the moral challenges of data generation, processing, and AI-driven technologies, emphasizing a data-centric approach over traditional information ethics. A macro ethical framework is essential to address complex ethical dilemmas holistically and ensure responsible data practices.

Chen & Li [4] assess the biases present in AI-driven decision-making within IoT systems. They argue that

biased algorithms often perpetuate societal inequalities, particularly in industries such as finance, healthcare, and law enforcement. The researchers call for increased accountability in AI data sets and propose that a collaborative, multi-stakeholder approach be adopted to ensure fairness in automated decisions. This approach would involve developers, ethicists, and policymakers working together to reduce discrimination in AI deployment.

A. Mosenia and N. K. Jha et al. [5] This study enhances prostate cancer grading by introducing visually meaningful features to improve interpretability in automated Gleason grading systems. Using luminal and architectural features, the proposed method achieved 93.0% training and 97.6% testing accuracy, demonstrating its potential for clinical adoption.

Smith and Johnson [6] discusses how utilitarian and rights-based ethical perspectives impact data-driven decision-making, emphasizing the need for a hybrid approach to ensure both efficiency and individual rights. A purely utilitarian approach may compromise individual privacy for broader societal benefits. Conversely, a strict rights-based framework could limit innovation and hinder data-driven advancements.

Patel and Gupta [7] explore corporate responsibility in IoT, highlighting cases of data misuse driven by profit motives and advocating for stronger regulatory measures and consumer education. They emphasize the role of ethical frameworks in mitigating risks associated with unchecked data collection. Additionally, they propose transparency initiatives to enhance consumer awareness and trust in IoT.

Algorithmic biases in data mining are another critical issue. Barocas et al. [8] argue that while algorithms are perceived as neutral, they often inherit historical prejudices embedded in data, necessitating both legal and technical solutions. They emphasize the importance of bias detection and mitigation techniques to ensure fairness in algorithmic decision-making. Furthermore, they advocate for regulatory oversight to address discriminatory outcomes in automated systems.

Similarly, Williams and Ross [9] propose privacy-preserving techniques like differential privacy to mitigate risks in Big Data analytics. They highlight how differential privacy can protect individual data while allowing valuable insights to be derived. Additionally, they emphasize the need for regulatory frameworks to ensure the ethical implementation of such techniques.

In the healthcare domain, Sharma et al. [10] highlight biases in medical AI, calling for ethical validation frameworks to prevent disparities. They emphasize that biased training data can lead to unequal healthcare outcomes, disproportionately affecting marginalized communities. Furthermore, they advocate for diverse data representation and continuous monitoring to improve fairness in medical AI systems.

AI governance and transparency also demand attention. Fernandez [11] suggests global regulatory bodies for AI standardization to ensure ethical and consistent implementation across industries. He emphasizes the need for collaborative policymaking to address risks associated with biased and opaque AI systems. Additionally, he advocates for compliance frameworks that promote accountability and public trust in AI technologies.

While Ahmed and Zhao [12] critique the commodification of personal data, calling for stronger data ownership rights, they emphasize the risks of excessive data monetization by corporations. They argue that individuals should have greater control over how their data is collected, shared, and used. Additionally, they advocate for stricter regulations to prevent unethical data exploitation and enhance user privacy protections.

Taylor [13] promotes open-source IoT development to enhance transparency, arguing that open access to source code allows for greater accountability and security. He emphasizes that community-driven innovation can help identify vulnerabilities and improve ethical standards in IoT systems. Additionally, he advocates for collaborative efforts between developers and policymakers to establish responsible IoT practices.

Jones et al. [14] discuss adaptive regulatory models for emerging ethical challenges, highlighting the need for flexible frameworks that evolve with technological advancements. They propose a dynamic approach to regulation that can address issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and AI accountability. Furthermore, they emphasize stakeholder involvement to ensure regulations remain relevant and effective.

Blockchain solutions for ethical data governance are explored by Roberts [15], who identifies security benefits but also challenges like scalability and energy consumption. He highlights blockchain's potential to enhance data integrity and prevent unauthorized access. However, he also cautions that high computational costs and environmental concerns must be addressed for sustainable implementation.

Ethical concerns in education technology are increasingly relevant. Jackson and Lee [16] analyze IoT in educational settings, emphasizing the need for transparency in student data collection. They highlight concerns over unauthorized data usage and potential privacy breaches in smart learning environments. Additionally, they advocate for regulatory policies that ensure ethical data governance while maintaining the benefits of IoT in education.

Singh and Kapoor [17] warn against biases in educational analytics, advocating for fairness-driven AI frameworks to promote equitable learning outcomes. They emphasize the need for diverse and representative training datasets to minimize algorithmic discrimination. Additionally, they call for continuous audits to ensure AI models do not reinforce existing inequalities.

Miller et al. [18] highlight the risks of biased AI in personalized learning, noting that skewed algorithms can disadvantage certain student groups. They stress the importance of transparency in AI decision-making to foster trust in adaptive learning systems. Furthermore, they suggest integrating ethical guidelines into AI model development to enhance fairness.

Yang and Liu [19] discuss the ethics of using IoT to track student behavior, raising concerns about privacy and surveillance. They argue that excessive monitoring may create an intrusive learning environment, potentially affecting student autonomy. To address this, they propose ethical regulations that balance educational benefits with student rights.

Gonzalez and Perez [20] propose a balanced data governance approach in education, ensuring innovation while safeguarding student rights. They advocate for clear

policies on data collection, storage, and access to prevent misuse. Moreover, they emphasize collaborative efforts between educators, policymakers, and technologists to develop ethical data frameworks.

Pandya [21] explores the role of e-learning in higher education and its contribution to sustainable development. The study highlights how e-learning provides flexibility and accessibility, allowing students from various backgrounds to benefit from education. Pandya argues that e-learning is essential for improving educational systems globally and achieving long-term growth by offering a more inclusive and adaptable approach to learning.

Kishorchandra et al. [22] examine the importance of secure social media environments for children. The study proposes automated content filtering systems using biometric feedback to ensure online safety. The authors emphasize the growing need to protect children from harmful content as social media usage continues to rise among younger audiences, advocating for technology-driven solutions to address privacy and security concerns.

Pandya et al. [23] compare traditional and modern education systems, focusing on the advantages of both face-to-face teaching and online learning. The study highlights that while traditional methods provide structure, e-learning offers flexibility and personalization, allowing students to learn at their own pace. The authors suggest that combining these approaches can better cater to the diverse needs of students and prepare them for future challenges.

III. LITERATURE SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The literature highlights ethical concerns in IoT, AI governance, and education. Transparency in data collection, AI bias, and corporate responsibility in IoT are key issues. Ethical frameworks and regulatory measures are essential to address surveillance, data misuse, and fairness in AI-driven decisions. Proposed solutions include privacy-preserving techniques, global AI regulations, and stronger data ownership rights. Blockchain and open-source IoT development are explored for ethical data governance. In education, fairness in AI analytics and transparency in student data collection are emphasized. E-learning's role in sustainable education and secure digital environments for children are also discussed. A balanced approach combining traditional and online learning is suggested to enhance accessibility and innovation while ensuring ethical safeguards.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, combining thematic analysis of existing literature with case studies and expert interviews. The research is structured around several core themes: privacy, algorithmic fairness, transparency, and the role of data governance in educational contexts.

A. Case Studies

Multiple case studies from the education sector are analyzed, focusing on the use of IoT devices in schools and universities to monitor students' academic performance and behavior. These case studies highlight the ethical dilemmas faced by educational institutions in balancing the benefits of data-driven decision-making with the need to protect student rights.

B. Thematic Analysis

A thematic analysis is conducted on ethical frameworks and regulatory policies, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). The analysis focuses on how these frameworks address the unique challenges of Big Data and IoT in education and their ability to ensure ethical compliance.

C. Expert Interviews

Interviews are conducted with experts in ethics, education technology, and data privacy to gather insights into the practical challenges of implementing ethical standards in educational data practices.

D. Ethical Scenario Analysis

Scenarios from real-world educational applications of IoT and Big Data are analyzed using deontological, utilitarian, and virtue ethics frameworks to evaluate the ethical implications of data use in educational performance evaluations.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings reveal that the primary ethical concerns in IoT and Big Data applications in education include privacy violations, algorithmic bias, and a lack of transparency in data governance.

A. Privacy Violations

A significant portion of the data collected through IoT devices, such as learning management systems and smart classrooms, is gathered without students' informed consent. This violates the fundamental ethical principle of autonomy, where individuals should have control over their personal data.

B. Algorithmic Bias

The study finds that AI-driven algorithms used in educational systems often exhibit biases that can negatively affect marginalized groups, leading to unequal access to educational resources. This reinforces societal inequalities and highlights the need for fairness in the design and deployment of AI models.

C. Corporate Responsibility

Companies that develop educational IoT devices and software often prioritize profit over ethical concerns, resulting in inadequate privacy protections and a lack of transparency in data practices. This undermines the trust between educational institutions, students, and technology providers.

D. Security Concerns

Educational institutions are often ill-equipped to secure the vast amounts of data collected through IoT devices, leaving student data vulnerable to breaches and cyberattacks.

E. Regulatory Gaps

Existing regulations, such as the GDPR and FERPA, offer some protection but are often inadequate in addressing the specific ethical challenges posed by IoT and Big Data in educational contexts. The study calls for a more adaptive

regulatory approach that can keep pace with the rapid technological advancements in education.

VI. CONCLUSION

The ethical landscape surrounding IoT and Big Data in education requires a dynamic and adaptive approach to governance. This paper emphasizes the importance of establishing transparent, fair, and secure data practices that prioritize the privacy and autonomy of students. It also highlights the need for ethical AI frameworks and robust regulatory mechanisms that can address emerging challenges as technology continues to evolve.

Future research should focus on refining ethical guidelines for AI-driven decision-making in education and exploring the potential of privacy-preserving technologies, such as differential privacy and block chain, to safeguard student data.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] Smith et al., "Ethical Concerns in IoT Data Collection," Journal of Ethics in Technology, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 45-60, 2021.

[2] K. Michael and M. G. Michael, "The Ethics of Smart City Applications: Discussing the Problems and Solutions," IEEE Technology and Society Magazine, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 34-40, March 2020. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8964460.

[3] L. Floridi and M. Taddeo, "What is Data Ethics?" Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, vol. 374, no. 2083, 2016. Available from: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsta.2016.0360

[4] Chen and Li, “Biases in AI-driven Decision Making”, AI & Society, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 33-47, 2021.

[5] A. Mosenia and N. K. Jha, "A Comprehensive Study of Security of Internet-of-Things," IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 586-602, Oct.-Dec. 2017. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7467409

[6] J. Smith and A. Johnson, "Balancing Utilitarianism and Rights-Based Ethics in Big Data Governance," Journal of Ethics in Technology, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 45-59, 2021. Available from: https://www.jeth.org/article/balancing-ethics-bigdata

[7] R. Patel and V. Gupta, "Corporate Responsibility in IoT Data Governance," Digital Ethics Journal, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 78-92, 2022. Available from: https://www.digethicsjournal.com/article/iot-governance

[8] S. Barocas, A. D. Selbst, and M. Williams, "Algorithmic Bias and the Need for Ethical Oversight," Journal of AI Ethics, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 121-138, 2021. Available from: https://www.jaiethics.org/article/algorithmic-bias

[9] K. Williams and L. Ross, "Privacy-Preserving Techniques in Big Data," Computing Privacy Journal, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 33-48, 2020. Available from: https://www.cpjournal.com/article/privacy-bigdata

[10] A. Sharma, P. Mehta, and S. Verma, "Ethical Challenges in Healthcare IoT," Medical AI Ethics Review, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 89-104, 2022. Available from: https://www.maierjournal.com/article/healthcare-iot-ethics

[11] G. Fernandez, "Global AI Governance Standards," AI Policy and Ethics Review, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 44-59, 2020. Available from: https://www.aipolicyreview.com/article/global-ai-governance

[12] K. Ahmed and Y. Zhao, "Ethical Implications of Data Commodification," Digital Ethics Journal, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 29-43, 2021. Available from: https://www.digethicsjournal.com/article/data-commodification

[13] J. Taylor, "Transparency in Ethical IoT Development," IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 120-135, 2019. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/2951234

[14] M. Jones, P. Smith, and L. Wang, "Regulatory Challenges in IoT Ethics," Technology and Society Review, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 99-115, 2022. Available from: https://www.techsocreview.com/article/iot-regulation

[15] S. Roberts, "Blockchain for Ethical Data Governance," Computing Ethics Journal, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 55-70, 2021. Available from: https://www.computingethicsjournal.com/article/blockchain-data-governance

[16] R. Jackson and M. Lee, "Ethical Challenges of IoT in Educational Settings," Journal of Ethics in Education Technology, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 150-163, 2020 Available from: https://www.jeetjournal.com/article/iot-education-ethics

[17] V. Singh and A. Kapoor, "Big Data Analytics in Education: Ethical Dilemmas and Frameworks," Educational Technology and Ethics Journal, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 210-225, 2021. Available from: https://www.etedjournal.com/article/bigdata-education-ethics

[18] J. Miller, D. Foster, and A. Patel, "Ethical AI in Education: Challenges and Solutions," Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 198-213, 2021. Available from: https://www.jaieducation.com/article/ethical-ai-education

[19] Y. Yang and H. Liu, "IoT and Big Data in Educational Performance Assessment: Ethical Considerations," Journal of Education Technology Ethics, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 102-115, 2022. Available from: https://www.jetejournal.com/article/iot-bigdata-education

[20] R. Gonzalez and S. Perez, "Data Governance in Education: Ethics and Responsibilities in the Age of Big Data," Journal of Educational Data Protection, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 180-193, 2021. Available from: https://www.jedpjournal.com/article/data-governance-education

[21] V. Pandya, "Role of E-Learning based higher education in sustainable development," E-Commerce Future Trends, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 20–23, 2023. Available from: https://shorturl.at/qmGL0

[22] P. V. Kishorchandra, B. Vadher, R. Meghnathi, M. Raychura, and K. Keshwala, "A Comprehensive Review—Building A Secure Social Media Environment for Kids—Automated Content Filtering with Biometric Feedback," International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science and Technology, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 25–30, 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2024.12.4.4

[23] V. Pandya, D. Monani, D. Aahuja, and U. Chotai, “Traditional vs. Modern Education: A Comparative Analysis,” SSRN Electronic Journal, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 172–183, 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4876084

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Dr Vishal Kishorchandra Pandya holds a BCA, an M.Sc. in IT & CA, and a Ph.D. in Computer Science. He has been serving as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science since 2011. They have published over seven research papers, with a focus on predicting and developing students' educational performance through the use of data mining techniques

Joshi Keyur Ramesh holds a BCA and M.Sc. IT & CA degree. This is the first publication. Currently, he is an Assistant Professor in Department of Computer Science.

Modha Vivek Pankajbhai holds BCA and currently, he is the final year student of M.Sc.IT&CA course.